No Fear!:
Psalm 23 as a Careful, Conceptual Chiasm

W. Creighton Marlowe

1. Introduction: Is Psalm 23 a Chiasm?

Approaches to this psalm are legion: theologians, teachers, preachers, seminarians, linguists, free-lance writers, death-bed counselors, spiritual shepherds, and even a literal shepherd have all “looked” at Psalm 23. Of course “looked” is euphemistic for interpreted; and so many have looked that a new angle does not seem possible or desirable. But although it seems every exegetical trend has been employed to unlock the secrets and sense of this psalm, somehow it has almost entirely escaped the chiasmic craze—until now (sadly or happily depending on the reader’s perspective). While I sympathize with those who are skeptical about literary license looking for chiasm at every turn in the biblical text, I have become convinced of the contextual and structural reality and intentionality of this literary device in Psalm 23.

The outline or literary structure of this psalm is a major issue over which interpreters continue to disagree. Leupold laments the psalm’s history of fragmentation by commentators; but Craigie reminds his readers that its structure is “difficult to define with clarity or certainty.” Is there only one metaphor being employed by the psalmist (i.e., the shepherd) or are there two, three, or more; e.g., shepherd, guide, and host? Almost every approach imaginable has been tried in terms of an analytical and linear outline of one or more sections with one or more metaphorical images; but not that of a conscious and careful, conceptual chiasm. The unresolved confusion and conflict among commentators over this matter suggests that a new, non-linear, and more extensive explanation is necessary as a possible solution to the debate over this psalm’s intended literary form.
2. Interpretation of Psalm 23 as a Chiasm

2.1 An Interpretive History of the Literary Structure Psalm 23

To date only general and topical chiastic outlines have been hinted at on rare occasions,4 and a detailed metrical chiasm has been worked out;5 but not a detailed conceptual chiasm with a central, unpeated climax as the solution to the debate over this psalm’s structure. These conceptually and structurally suggestive chiastic interpretations of Psalm 23 in its relatively recent and mostly scholarly literary history will be reviewed next, followed by the non-chiastic treatments.

2.1.1 Chiastic Considerations

Clarke’s commentary (1976 edition) exhibits a chiasmus, although this pattern (A-B-B-A) is not mentioned per se as characteristic of the literary structure: provision (vv. 1-3), protection (v. 4), protection (v. 5), and provision (v. 6).6 Y. Bazaq’s 1981 stylistic and structural analysis interpreted verse 4 as a center-point around which the rest of the psalm circles. A pattern of phonological and conceptual parallels was observed between verses 1 and 4, 2 and 5, and 3 and 6.7 The present proposal maintains the same verse (4) as the theological fulcrum or thesis of the poem; but otherwise sees a chiastic pattern of similarities in purpose between verses 1-2 and 6 and 3 and 5. Gerstenberger hinted at a chiastic nature for Psalm 23 in 1988 by saying that the final verse “returns to objective, confessional language,” after explaining that it opens with a confessional.8 In 1990 L. F. Bliese selected Psalms 1-24 for study, seeking to show that intentional structural symmetry rather than randomness guided the psalmists. This author was satisfied that either metrical chiasmus (28 times) or metrical homogeneity (12 times) is clearly present in these psalms and should be expected in much of Old Testament poetry. In the former case (chiasmus) the lines of the poem or of each stanza of a poem (working from the outside to the center) have the same number of word accents successively. For Psalm 23 the chiasmic meter is 4655 6 5564:

A (v. 1 = 4 beats)
B (v. 2 = 6 beats)
C (v. 3 = 5 beats)
D (v. 4a = 5 beats)
E (v. 4b = 6 beats) = a marked peak
D (v. 5a = 5 beats)
C (v. 5b = 5 beats)
B (v. 6a = 6 beats)
A (v. 6b = 4 beats).

The isolated central line or lines then become(s) its marked peak. In the latter instances (i.e., those of metrical homogeneity) the accents per line are the same throughout a given psalm, leading to a marked final (rather than central) peak.9

2.1.2 Non-chiastic Considerations

In 1982 R. AnRon wrote on the unity of Psalm 23, arguing against what is viewed as...
forced attempts to show unity through emendations; and seeking to establish an imagina-
tive conceptual and emotional unity. In line with, but more specifically than Bazaq, Ahroni identified verse 4b as the key thought around which the rest of the psalm revolves: the “rod and staff” representing God’s justice and mercy. R. S. Tomback reconsidered verse 2 the same year and used Mesopotamian backgrounds to explain this verse in a manner consistent with the master (lord) and shepherd of verse 1. While this study was not concerned with the overall structural implications of this interpretation, the chias-
tic character of Psalm 23, which this current paper seeks to defend, depends on the close association of verses 1-2 and their collective disassociation from verse 3—in contrast to the modern tendency to disregard the strong Masoretic disjunctive accent (rebia mugrash) of verse 1 (while inconsistently following it in verse 3) and thus create a bi-cola with verse 2a, leading to the need to harmonize the text by producing another bi-cola from verses 2b and 3a and yet another from verse 3b. In 1985 an essay by C. O’Connor on the structure of Psalm 23 appeared, taking into account the metrical system developed by M. O’Connor. Results were: (1) sixteen lines: 1b1 line, 2a-b2 lines, 3a-b2 lines, 4a-d4 lines, 5a-c3 lines, and 6a-d4 lines; (2) two sections or stanzas of eight lines each: 1b-4c and 4d-6d; and (3) four strophes or paragraphs, each of related material, of four lines each—two per stanza: I. 1b-3a and 3b-4c, then II. 4d-5c and 6a-d. This still suggests a hinge purpose for verse 4 but splits its contents between 4c and 4d, making the former the close of section I and the latter the opening for section II. The verse is transitional as demanded by a chiasm but the development of thought as viewed by O’Connor is linear rather than cyclical, since the verses of each strophe are seen as related, or intra-related, but not inter-related with verses in other strophes. He offers few clues for a chiasm.

But chiasitic or other similar structures in Hebrew poetry in general and in the Psalms in particular (not Psalm 23 per se) were being noticed in the mid-1980s. J. Bazak spoke of concentric circles characterizing the shape of Psalm 25 and concluded, after an analysis of Psalms 25, 34, 37, and 145, that similar shapes were either synonymous or chiasitic. At the same time J. S. Kselman put forth a chiasitic interpretation of Psalm 101, one exegetical significance of which was to suggest that the question posed in verse 2b was answered by verse 8. Then P. Auffret questioned the traditional assumption of great literary artistry in Psalm 23. Yet his study, while noting previously overlooked structural features, was based on its traditional, linear two-part structure: verses 1-4 (part one) and verses 5-6 (part two). In the following year (1986) K. K. Sacon applied a literary-structural analysis to Psalm 113 and arrived at a chiasitic colometry. This supported the assumptions that Bliese would make four years later.

Related to the relationship of verses 2 and 3, which issue has bearing on the chiasm proposed in this paper, T. M. Willis in 1987, while not concerned with the outline or structure of Psalm 23, argued grammatically for a thematic connection between verses 2-3a. These three cola describe three functions of a shepherd. The next year M. S. Smith evaluated the traditional bi-partite outline of Psalm 23 and the attempts to reconcile the seemingly conflicting images of God as first a shepherd (vv. 1-4) and then as a host (vv. 5-6). He concluded that only verses 1-4 and only in the psalmist’s perspective of a spiritual journey, wherein verses 1-4 would be what a pilgrim would see on a journey to the temple and verses 5-6 what happens at the end of his pilgrimage. In the same year Y. Mazor defend-
ed the traditional, gradual shift from shepherd to host (vv. 4-5) as a compliment to another, earlier and sudden shift (vv. 3-4) from sheep (believer) to Shepherd (God). Mazor claimed this results in an integrated, rhetorical unity that pictures God’s grace through twin images of “the stern protector and the generous host.” By great contrast, also in 1988, D. P. McCarthy took a deconstructionist approach (à la Derrida), concluding that Psalm 23 contains inherent contradictions that account for the interpretive confusion that has characterized the scholarly study of these six verses to date.

In 1989 C. Gilead gave another approach to the standard double-stanza division of Psalm 23. Each stanza was observed to contain three stichs and a conclusion, to be distinguished from the introduction and conclusion of the entire poem. Its literary and linguistic substance and style were seen as a result of influence from the shepherd’s hymn via the contemporary Jeremiah. The same year witnessed the publication of van Uchelen’s 1989 article in which he sought to solve the problem of the diversity of interpretations of this psalm’s imagery and structure by looking for linguistic features that could provide empirical evidence to point out the proper path to understanding the text. Structurally, however, he still speaks of two different metaphorical halves. Noteworthy for the purposes of this present article is van Uchelen’s conclusion that verse 4 (for grammatical reasons) provides the key to the basic meaning of Psalm 23. This study’s proposed chiasm centers on this verse as the main and unparalleled point of the psalm as well. Finally in the most recent (1999) return to Psalm 23 exegesis, Robinson adds little new for structural studies, presenting it as a unity of two parts, vv. 1-3 then vv. 4-5. Verse six is deemed a conclusion.

2.2 An Interpretation of the Literary Category of Psalm 23

Psalm 23 should be viewed as an “Extended Confession of Trust,” in form-critical terms. The Praise psalm flows in principle from the vow of praise, which is a typical feature of the classic and complete Lament psalm. In practice it comes from the reality of release from ruin and its resulting resolve to recognize God’s goodness and greatness before others. Once saved the psalmist swears that public and vocal testimony will be given as praise before the congregation. Likewise the standard petition section of a Lament can lead to a single psalm devoted to prayer. So the confession of trust section found in many or most laments gives rise to an externally but not existentially independent psalm, which (like the 23rd, for example) is categorically an extended Confession of Trust in Yahweh as a certain savior and sustainer of life. This is a confession to Yahweh that the psalmist still believes that He is his only true source of strength, sustenance, and safety. Logically and functionally and necessarily this comes before the psalmist has experienced God’s present promise and provision of victory, when it looks like defeat or death is possible or even probable. Based on the consistent character of God and conduct and commitments on behalf of the psalmist and other believers in the past, the psalmist determines to hang onto Yahweh as his only help, even if he fears the situation is hopeless.

2.3 A Summary of the Debate over the Structure of Psalm 23 and the Significance of a Solution

A major difference in interpretive approaches has been over how to explain the last shift to imagery which seems to have nothing to do with shepherds and sheep, at least on the surface. Some, therefore, try logically
to explicate the visual changes from pastures (vv 1-3) to a dark or deadly predicament (v. 4) to being pampered before enemies and eternally protected (vv. 5-6). But others try to show how the entire context of the psalm maintains a connection to the theme of sheep and shepherd. Leupold’s 1959 observation is still apt:

Then there is the matter of the unity of the psalm or the unity of the figure employed by the psalmist. Some, the majority, perhaps, find only one figure, that of the shepherd. Of a slightly more recent date is the interpretation that finds two figures, that of the shepherd and that of the host. Others insert a third between the two, the guide. Others, giving special thought to v. 6, devise some kind of a fourth figure. By this time one is compelled to admit that the beautiful little psalm has been pretty sadly fragmentized.30

A selective survey of commentaries from past to present will quickly reveal this confusion. Leupold (1959)—as one would gather from his comment above—takes a unified shepherding approach which explains each part of the psalm as stating something the Shepherd provides.31 VanGemeren in 1991 followed the typical bipartite shepherd-host division (the Lord is my shepherd, vv. 1-4; and the Lord is my host, vv. 5-6).32 He also notes three opposing works in regard to the subject of vv. 3-4: Thierry (1963) and Briggs (1952), who see this passage as being about people, and Willis (1987), who relates it to the three tasks of a shepherd for his sheep.33 Merrill (1965) and Eaton (1965) both give them a royal meaning.34 Briggs sees people being treated as guests in three ways: with God as their shepherd (vv. 1-3a), guide (vv. 3b-4), and host (vv. 5-6).35 Clarke (1979) somewhat artificially applies the shepherding metaphor throughout the psalm. He also forces the text into ten available and alliterated resources for God’s human sheep (which heightens the dubious quality of his analysis): rest (v. 2), refreshment (v. 2), restoration (v. 3), regulation (v. 3), rescue (v. 4), reassurance (v. 4), reception (v. 4), rejoicing (v. 5), retainers (v. 6), and residence (v. 6).36 Craigie (1983) recognizes the two-fold shepherd-host arrangement as the consensus; but observes at least two other valid metaphorical patterns: (1) the unity of the shepherd motif throughout (à la Koehler) and (2) the lack of metaphor at all in vv. 5-6 (à la Vogt, who takes these verses literally as a sacrificial banquet, which is also the psalm’s setting).37 Kidner (1973), as well, accepts the double metaphors of first the shepherd and then the friend. The shepherd by definition incorporates the functions of “guide, physician and protector.”38 In terms of Gattung criticism Gerstenberger gives the outline of this so-called “song of confidence” in three parts, as (1) confessional statement, vv. 1-3; (2) affirmation of confidence, vv. 4-5; and (3) expression of hope, v. 6. Against most modern analysts he believes the psalm is structured according to liturgical needs.39

When Keller turned in 1970 to his naïve exposition of v. 5, his experience with watching shepherds compelled him to see the “table” which is being prepared by the shepherd as the “tablelands” where sheep graze. Linguistically, feeding sheep as the subject of v. 5 is erroneous; even if commentators, for similar or similar reasons, are comfortable with the complete characterization of this psalm in shepherding imagery. Another example is Maddux’s 1965 outline, which is typical, as Keller, of the
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oversimplified, treatments of Psalm 23: the Shepherd's care; the Shepherd's redemption; the Shepherd's presence; the Shepherd's protection; and the Shepherd's dwelling place.

While some scholars also argue for the shepherding motif throughout Psalm 23, others wonder how such imagery can reasonably be applied to sheep. As Kidner says parenthetically, "The attempt to sustain the first metaphor [i.e., the shepherd] . . . would turn it through a full circle, picturing men as sheep which are pictured as men—with their table, cup and house—which is hardly a profitable exercise." As a final example, Alden (1974) followed the shepherding theme consistently through the fourth verse, and then noted there are multiple metaphors in verses 5-6.

If the present analysis is correct, the reason for the changes in imagery is to be explained by the symmetric demands of the chiastic principles and parallels of the ancient, eastern poetic mind and not by the dictates of western, analytic and systematic thinking imposed on poetic literature, which has led to the false expectation of some type of outline for the psalm in two parts (i.e., I. vv. 1-4 and II. vv. 5-6) and the need to try and justify the transition from flock to feast.

2.4 The Chiastic Structure of Psalm 23 Exhibited

The charts that follow represent visually the conclusions reached in this examination of Psalm 23 pertaining to its chiastic characteristics. It seemed best to allow the reader to view the structure of the psalm suggested by this study, as a frame of reference, before explaining the exegetical details used to support it.

2.4.1 The Text of Psalm 23 as a Chiasm

A 23:1 Yahweh is my shepherd; therefore I shall not want.
23:2 He makes me lie down in green pastures; he leads me beside still waters.
B 23:3 He restores me: He leads me in right paths for his name's sake.
   a Even though I walk through the darkest valley,
   C (23:4) b I fear no danger, for you are with me;
   a' your rod and your staff—they comfort me.
B' 23:5 You prepare a table before me in the presence of my enemies;
you anoint my head with oil; my cup overflows.
A' 23:6 Surely goodness and mercy shall follow me all the days of my life, and I shall dwell in the house of Yahweh my whole life long.

As an inclusio God's personal name, Yahweh, is used in the beginning and ending verses. In terms of content both of these chiastic boundaries emphasize God's constant and certain care. The Sovereign Shepherd of souls provides spiritually for His people abundantly and appropriately.

2.4.2 The Themes of Psalm 23 as a Chiasm

When the previous chiastic scheme of the verses of Psalm 23 is translated into a thematic statement for each step of the chiasm, the following results:
A. Complete provision (1-2)
B. Purposeful Restoration (3)
  a. Our need for rescue (4ai)
  b. How to wait for rescue (4aai)
  a'. His ability to rescue (4b)
C. (4) No need for fear: b. How to wait for rescue (4aai)
B'. Purposeful Renewal (5)
A'. Continual provision (6)

2.5 The Chiastic Significance of Psalm 23 Explained

2.5.1 The Metrics of the Hebrew Text

The Masoretic major poetic disjunctive accents will be shown as used in Psalm 23 with the MT.45

Next the Masoretic major poetic disjunctive accents will be shown as used in Psalm 23 with an English translation:

A (1) Yahweh is my shepherd (rebia mugrash); //
I shall not want [for adequate care] (silluq).
(2) He makes me lie down in green pastures (athnach); //
  he leads me beside still waters (silluq).
B (3) He restores me— (athnach); //
  He leads me in the right paths (rebia mugrash), //
  to protect His reputation (silluq).
  ai If I might wander into the deepest, darkest valley (pazer), /
C (4) aii I fear no danger (rebia magnum); //
  for you are with me (athnach); //
  your rod and staff (rebia mugrash), //
  they comfort me (silluq).
B' (5) You prepare a table before me (rebia magnum); //
  in the presence of my enemies (athnach). //
  you anoint my head with oil (rebia mugrash); //
As the above treatments of the text of Psalm 23 demonstrate, this present study rejects the decision of some translators and textual emendators to "correct" the Masoretic Text by re-arranging v. 3a as the final part of a tricolon along with v. 2a-b or as part of a bicolon with 2b. The latter makes an artificial division between 2a and 2b and forces v. 1 into the role of the initial parallel member of a bicolon comprising vv. 1-2a. All these approaches require the translator to disregard the punctuation of the Masoretic Text. In this case the text makes good sense and probably the best sense if the MT versification and accentuation are faithfully followed. This means, of the current and most popular English versions, the NKJV presents and preserves the best organization and punctuation of Psalm 23, in light of the premises and proofs of this paper.

Verse 1 (ignoring the superscription attributing the psalm to David; which is a later but reliable editorial insertion) should be taken alone as a "synthetic" bicola and not as the first colon of a bicola with verse 2a as the second, parallel member or line. The reasons are that the MT employs: (1) most importantly, a strong disjunctive accent (rebia mugrash) above the Hebrew word (ro'y) meaning "my shepherd," and (2) notably, the silluq with soph pasuq after "want" (e'háq) indicating the end of the verse. In effect this verse briefly states the theme of the psalm, and should be read with verse 2, which is the beginning of the chiastic poem verse and corresponds to verse 6. Another alternative, better yet than separating 2a from 2b in order to make v. 1 and 2a a bicola, would be to see vv. 1-2 as a "tricola" (monocolon plus bicola). This alternative approach still, however, has to ignore the strong disjunctive in v. 1 to make it a monocolon; so the most consistent treatment is to take vv. 1 and 2 together as two lines of bicola, which provide the first level of the chiasm, linking the short thematic preface (v. 1) with the initial thought of the following five verses. This finds its counterpart in v. 6, which is rather lengthy anyway, as a balance to vv. 1-2, and ends with thoughts of "ever dwelling" (v. 6b) as a fitting mirror image of "never wanting" (v. 1b). Either way vv. 1-2 comprise the first chiastic level.

Whether verse 1 is a monocolon or bicola, the parallelism of verse 2 should be retained as a bicola ending with the silluq of that verse, as in the MT, and not restructured to include 3a to produce either a bicola of v. 2b with v. 3a or a tricola of vv. 2a-3a. A very strong disjunctive accent (athnach) divides verse 2 into a pleasing and productive bicola: "He makes me lie down in green pastures//He leads me beside still waters," followed by the sign ending a verse. While MT versification is not automatically absolute, the text makes good sense as it stands, probably the best sense as this discussion will defend. The seeming bases for altering the sense of the MT here are the assumptions of a necessity: (1) to parallel vv. 3a with 2b (because of the arbitrary decision to parallel vv. 1 and 2a) and/or (2) to parallel v. 3a with 3b can stand alone, theoretically, as a "synthetic" bicola. However, as will be developed below, the meaning of v. 3a fits as well if not better with the rest of verse 3 as with verse 2. Verse 3a is separated from 3b by another athnach, and then 3b itself has two parts created by the strong but less strong rebia—the same.
but only accent of verse 1b, following the non-original superscription in 1a. Therefore verse 2 should be identified as a synonymous bi-cola and verse 3 with its major dichotomy after 3a as: (1) probably, a tricola composed of a monoclon (v. 3a) followed by a “synthetic” bicola (v. 3b); or (2) possibly, as a synonymous or synthetic bicola. These options for verse 3 compare and contrast as follows:

He restores me:/
He leads me in right paths,/ for His name’s sake.
(or He restores me [in righteousness, for the sake of His reputation];/
He leads me in right paths, for His name’s sake).

As already noted, a chiasm based on the meter of Psalm 23 has been proposed by Bliese: A:4, B:6, C:5, D:5, E:6, D’:5, C’:5, B’:6, A’:4. This scheme of nine elements was based on a verse distinction of, respectively, verses 1, 2, 3, 4a, 4b, 5a, 5b, 6a, and 6b. The present study recognizes a different versification for the thematic chiasm: 1-2, 3, 4, 5, 6 but still finds the same center. Based on this latter scheme and on one beat per word (counting words joined with *maqqeph* as one beat), the parallelism and meter of Psalm 23 may be analyzed as follows: A:10, B:6, C:12, B’:10, A’:10.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verse</th>
<th>Parallelism</th>
<th>Meter</th>
<th>Total Beats</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A (1b)</td>
<td>“synthetic” bicola</td>
<td>2:2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2a-b)</td>
<td>synonymous bicola</td>
<td>3:3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B (3a)</td>
<td>monoclon</td>
<td>2:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3b)</td>
<td>“synthetic” bicola</td>
<td>2:2</td>
<td>06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[or 3a-b]</td>
<td>“synthetic” bicola</td>
<td>2:4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (4ai)</td>
<td>monoclon</td>
<td>4:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4aii-b)</td>
<td>“synthetic” bicola</td>
<td>4:4</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B’ (5a)</td>
<td>“synthetic” bicola</td>
<td>3:2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5b)</td>
<td>“synthetic” bicola</td>
<td>3:2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A’ (6a)</td>
<td>“synthetic” bicola</td>
<td>4:2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6b)</td>
<td>“synthetic” bicola</td>
<td>2:2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the parallelism is split as bicola at *athnach* only, a clear A-B-C-B’-A’ chiastic pattern also emerges for this psalm, with verse 4 having a unique meter and, therefore, consciously constructed as the center of the psalm:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Verses</th>
<th>Metric Pattern</th>
<th>Total Beats</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1:4:6</td>
<td>4:6</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>2:4</td>
<td>2:4</td>
<td>06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>8:4</td>
<td>8:4</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B’</td>
<td>5:5</td>
<td>5:5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A’</td>
<td>6:4</td>
<td>6:4</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As seen v. 3 may be analyzed as either a monocolon with a synthetic bi-cola or as a synthetic bi-cola in which the first line (3a, extant as only a monocolon) assumes a similar or related section of line 2 (3b) following “He leads me” for completion of its thought. “He leads me” of line two would be parallel with “He restores me” of line one. If intended as identical or very similar sentiments then this verse of poetry could be seen as synonymous rather than “synthetic.” Either way—as synonymous or synthetic, or two, three, or four stanzas of poetry—if the first line (v. 3a) is a shortened corruption or creation based on an originally intended longer version (echoing or enhancing 3b), then the metrics would come out closer to 8 or 10 beats, rather than 6, which would more closely parallel the 10 beats of verse 5, its chiastic counterpart. In addition the metric patterns 4:6 in the opening vv. 1-2 and 6:4 in closing v. 6 provide an inclusion for the chiastic poem and together make a 6-4-4-6 chiasm.

For an alternative approach, if one combines 4ai with v. 3 the outcome is 10 beats, leaving 8 beats for 4aii-b as the center or peak, and creating a perfectly balanced a-b-c-b'-a' metrical chiasm of 10-10-8-10-10 beats (vv. 1-2, 3-4ai, 4aii-b, 5, 6). This outcome is pleasant but it flies in the face of the weak pazer accent at the end of 4ai, treating it as a strong disjunctive. But if the MT accents are viewed as usually reliable while not absolute guides, then in this scenario, the result is a much longer B element (v. 3-4ai) which would be seen as a monocolon of 2 beats (v. 3a) followed by a bicola of 4:4 beats (vv. 3b-4aii). The C unit (v. 4aii-b) or apex would be a bicola of 4:4 beats (or alternatively two bicola of 2:2 and 2:2 beats) which fits together well, perhaps synonymously: “I fear no danger for you are your protection isl with me! // If fear no trouble for your rod and your staff comfort me!” Verse 4ai also goes well with the preceding verse 3: “He restores me: // He leads me in the right paths to protect His reputation // in case I might wander into a deep, dark valley.” When in this scheme 4aii-b is taken as the center section, as with the previous proposal, it also reflects a micro chiasm within the macro chiasm of the entire psalm, although in this case a-b-b'-a' (instead of a-b-a'). Still the main idea includes God’s presence which excludes all fear:

A I fear no danger (rebia magnum) 2 beats
B for you are with me (athnach) 2
B' your rod and your staff (rebia mugrash) 2
A' they comfort me (silluq). 2

No chiasm of the parallelism in Psalm 23 is observable. The first chart above of themetrical chiasm has the advantage of showing the three parts of v.4, which themselves can be seen to present an a-b-a' chiasm of 4-4-4 beats. There is in addition another way to view verse 4, the fulcrum section of this poem. Verse 4aii, which has been presented as the central theme around which the psalm rotates and the central phrase around which this verse revolves (making a chiasm within a chiastic psalm), also demonstrates its centrality in another fashion.

The first phrase of this sentence (“I fear no danger” v. 4aii-a) fits well with the preceding clause/sentence (v. 4b). This highlights v. 4aii
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as the point around which the other parts of v. 4 rotate and from which they depart. In 4aii, the first phrase, “I fear no danger,” is in line with the preceding statement and pronoun “even though I might wander into a deep, dark valley.” And, although followed by the athnach (a disjunctive pointing back), the second phrase of v. 4aii, “for you are with me,” is in keeping with the following sentiment and pronoun “your rod and staff, they comfort me.” Perhaps this is why the rebia magnum is used with the former phrase and the athnach with the latter. The athnach marks the end of a complete thought, yet the last part of that thought (“for you are with me”) has to do double duty for what is before and after. Therefore 4aii-α has a strong pause before moving on to 4aii-β. And together these two phrases provide the fulcrum and foundational theme of Psalm 23: “I fear no danger; for you are with me!” Psalm 23 calls the reader to a fearless life, and uses a creative and carefully constructed chiasm to do it conceptually, metrically, and dramatically.

2.5.2 The Mechanics and Meaning of the Hebrew Text
Level A, A’ (Outer): (v. 1-2; // v. 6)
Yahweh Shepherds with Complete and Continual Provision
Verse 1a-b: “Yahweh is my shepherd; // I will lack nothing [essential spiritually].”
Verse 2a-b: “He causes me to lie down in green pastures; // He leads me to lie down] beside quiet water.”
Verse 6a-b: “Undoubtedly goodness and grace will accompany me every day of my life; // and I will return to [or “dwell in”] the house of Yahweh a long time.”

Level B, B’ (Inner): (v. 3 // v. 5)
Yahweh Shepherds with Purposeful Renewal and Restoration
Verse 3a-b: “He restores me [physically and spiritually]; // He leads me along the right paths, / For the sake of His own reputation.”
Verse 5a-b: “You prepare a table before me in the presence of my enemies; // You anoint my head with oil,/ My cup overflows.”

Level C (Center): Climax: (v. 4)
Never Fear!
Level C, -a, -a’ (Outer Climax): (v. 4a, b)
The Sheep’s Need and the Shepherd’s Nature for Rescue
All-Presemt, regardless of the environment (v. 4ai)
Verse 4a: “Yes, even though I might wander through a valley of deep darkness,” /
All-Powerful regardless of the enemy (v. 4b)
Verse 4b: “Your rod and staff, they comfort me.”

Level C, -b (Inner Climax) (v. 4aii)
No Need for Fear! The Divine Shepherd is Always Near
Verse 4b: “I will not be afraid of any danger, for You are with me!”
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3. CONCLUSION: PSALM 23 IS A CHIASM!

Psalm 23 has a chiasm within a chiasm. Its formation is both simple and sublime. The entire psalm exhibits an A-B-C-B'-A' chiastic pattern, with the climactic and center section/verse (C; v. 4) also displaying a sub-chiasm, having the pattern of a-b-a' (or a-b-b'-a'). In this way the psalm means to help the reader make no mistake by making it abundantly clear: Yahweh's presence prevents all panic. The outer layer of corresponding verses is composed of the cluster of w. 1-2 which parallels v. 6. The inner layer of correspondence juxtaposes v. 3 with v. 5. Finally the focus falls on v. 4, wherein the psalmist's fearless proclamation in the light of God's presence in the midst of deep darkness is framed by reflections on his perilous situation and God's powers of salvation.
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